89-e24

Segment No. 09-17-01

WA-17-0030

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF AUGUST 1988 PORT TOWNSEND BAY SEAWATER SAMPLES

> by Art Johnson

Washington State Department of Ecology Environmental Investigations and Laboratory Services Toxics Investigations/Ground Water Monitoring Unit Olympia, Washington 98504

ABSTRACT

Seawater samples collected from Port Townsend Bay during August 16-17, 1988, were analyzed for EPA priority pollutants, 61 trace elements, herbicides, and nitrogen- and phosphorus-containing compounds in an effort to identify the water-borne toxicant thought responsible for liver disease of pen-reared Atlantic salmon. Concentrations of EPA priority pollutant metals were low and consistent with those reported for the main basin of Puget Sound and coastal seawater. The elemental composition of the water compared closely to that in samples collected concurrently in nearby Organic compounds were not detected. Admiralty Inlet. results support conclusions from an earlier October-December 1987 survey of Port Townsend Bay that indicated the level of chemical contamination to be generally low. It is recommended that further investigation into the cause of the liver disease be focused on the occurrence of natural toxins.

INTRODUCTION

During August 16-17, 1988, a series of seawater samples were collected from Port Townsend Bay in connection with the ongoing investigation into liver disease of pen-reared Atlantic salmon. The objective, as in Ecology's October-December, 1987, survey of the bay (Johnson, 1988), was to screen for the presence of toxic chemicals -- a water-borne toxicant believed responsible for the disease (Kent, 1988). The 1987 survey, which included analysis of seawater, salmon tissues, bottom sediments, and effluents, found no basis for a chemical etiology of the disease and generally indicated Port Townsend Bay to have a low level of chemical contamination relative to other parts of Puget Sound. The present survey was conducted because 1) the disease appeared to be primarily a spring-summer phenomenon and existing data had been obtained during winter, and 2) the Environmental Services Division of EPA Region 10 had preliminary data from samples collected on April 4, 1988, suggesting elevated concentrations of several metals in the Port Townsend Bay water column. The EPA data were ultimately rejected based on an internal quality assurance review by Raleigh Farlow (Farlow, personal communication).

Ecology's August 1988 seawater samples were collected on the west side of Port Townsend Bay at salmon rearing pens maintained by Blue Water Farm (Figure 1). This site was selected rather than Crane Point in the southeast corner of the bay (site of Ecology's 1987 water samples and site where the disease had been documented to occur in past years) based on results of ongoing field studies being




Figure 1. Sampling Locations [in Port Townsend Bay and Admiralty Inlet, August 16-17, 1988

conducted for Ecology by the Battelle Marine Research Laboratory. The status of Battelle's work just prior to the present survey was that onset of the liver disease had been detected in Atlantic salmon held at Blue Water (their first attempt to raise this species), but due to failure of an experimental pen being monitored by Battelle, recurrence of the disease at Crane Point was not to be documented until later in the year (Kent, 1989).

METHODS

Timing of the water sample collections is summarized in Table 1. Tidal exchange over the course of the two-day survey was minimal; low and high water extremes ranged from 0.5 to 2.4 meters (1.8 to 7.8 feet). All samples were surface grabs collected at a depth of approximately 0.5 meter. Sample handling is described in Table 2.

Table 3 shows the chemical analyses conducted. These included the EPA priority pollutant metals, volatiles, acid/base/neutral compounds and cyanide, selected herbicides, a screen for organonitrogen/organo-phosphorus compounds, and a multi-element scan. A complete list of target organic compounds, including detection limits, is in Appendix A. Temperature, salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen data were also obtained at Blue Water using a Hydrolab Model 8000; these data are in Appendix B.

The priority pollutant analyses and screen for organo-nitrogen compounds (as well as analysis for specific nitrogen-containing munitions chemicals) mentioned above had been done on the water samples collected in 1987; results were unremarkable. Analysis for the other priority pollutants (i.e., chlorinated pesticides, PCBs, and dioxin [2,3,7,8-TCDD]) in tissue samples from diseased Atlantic salmon collected in 1987 showed low levels of DDT compounds and PCBs typical of Puget Sound salmonids. TCDD was not detected in salmon tissue. Therefore, these analyses were not repeated in 1988. Herbicides, organophosphorus compounds, and trace elements (other than priority pollutant metals) had not been previously analyzed in Port Townsend Bay. The multi-element scan was extended to seawater samples collected concurrently from Admiralty Inlet (see Figure 1) to help put these data in perspective.

The accuracy of the data was assessed using standard reference materials, surrogate compound spikes, matrix spikes, replicate field samples, field blanks, and method blanks. The Ecology/EPA Environmental Laboratory in Manchester, Washington reviewed the results for organic priority pollutants and herbicides and concluded the data were of acceptable quality; this review is in Appendix C.

Table 1. Timing of seawater sample collections in Port Townsend Bay, August 1988.

Date: Time:	August 16 1800	August 17 0830	August 17 1245
Approx. Tide Stage ^a	higher high water	lower high water	higher low water
Metals	X	X	X
Multi-element scan	X	X	X
Volatiles	X		X
Acid/base/neutrals	X		X
Cyanide	X		X
Herbicides	X		X
N/P Compounds	X		X

aTime and height of tides - August 16: LLW, 1249, 1.8'; HHW, 1950, 7.8' August 17: LLW, 0155, 2.2'; LHW, 0805, 5.8'; HLW, 1321, 2.9'

 $^{^{\}rm b}{\rm Samples}$ also collected in Admiralty Inlet on August 16 at 1720, and August 17 at 0855 and 1330.

Table 2. Sample handling for Port Townsend Bay seawater samples collected August 16-17, 1988.

Analysis	Sample Container	Preservation	Maximum Allowable Holding Time
Metals	1 liter teflon	HNO ₃ to pH <2 (field); cool to 4°C	6 months 28 days for mercury
Multi-element scan	<pre>l quart polyethylene cubitainer w/teflon lid liner</pre>	cool to 4°C	not established
Volatiles	40 mL vial w/teflon septum	cool to 4°C	14 days
Acid/base/neutrals	<pre>1 gallon glass w/teflon lid liner</pre>	cool to 4°C	7 days to extraction 14 days after extraction
Cyanide	250 mL polyethylene	NaOH to pH >12 (field); cool to $^{4}_{6}$ C	14 days
Herbicides	1/2 gallon glass w/teflon lid liner	cool to 4°C	7 days
N/P screen	1/2 gallon glass w/teflon lid liner	cool to 4°C	not established

 $^{
m a}_{
m I-Chem}$ Series 300 or equivalent

Table 3. Analytical methods for Port Townsend seawater samples collected August 16-17, 1988.

Analysis	Method	Laboratory
Metals (t.recoverable)	AAS	Battelle, Sequim, WA
Multi-element scan	ICP/MS	Elemental Research Inc. Vancouver, B.C.
Volatiles	purge and trap GC/MS (method 624)	ARI, Seattle, WA
Acid/base/neutrals	GC/MS (method 625)	ARI, Seattle, WA
Cyanide	titrimetric, spectrophotometric (method 335.2-1)	Ecology, Manchester, WA
Herbicides	GC (method 8150)	ARI, Seattle, WA
N/P	GC/FID (method 614)	Ecology, Manchester, WA

AAS = atomic absorption spectrometry

GC/MS = gas chromatography/mass spectrometry

GC = gas chromatography

GC/FID = gas chromatography/flame ionization detector ICP/MS = inductively coupled plasma/mass spectrometry

RESULTS

Metals (Table 4). This analysis included the 13 priority pollutant metals and tin. Analysis of National Research Council Canada and National Bureau of Standards reference materials demonstrated the data reported for silver, cadmium, chromium, copper, mercury, nickel, lead, and zinc are accurate. A field blank of distilled, de-ionized water carried through the survey and preserved in the field in the same manner as the seawater samples had metals concentrations below method detection limits, except for trace amounts of copper (0.037 ug/L), mercury (0.0012 ug/L), lead (0.027 ug/L), and zinc (0.831 ug/L).

Metals concentrations were low (at or below approximately 1 ug/L) in all Port Townsend Bay seawater samples. Beryllium, antimony, selenium, thallium, and tin were below detection limits. The concentrations observed for those metals detected (silver, arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, mercury, nickel, lead, and zinc) ranged from 0.0006-0.0008 ug/L for mercury to 1.08-1.24 ug/L for arsenic. These results are consistent with metals concentrations reported for the main basin of Puget Sound and coastal seawater (Bloom and Crecelius, 1983; Bruland et al., 1979; Hardy et al., 1985; Paulson and Feeley, 1985; Yeats et al., 1978) and are one or more orders of magnitude below concentrations considered toxic to marine life (EPA, 1986).

<u>Multi-element Scan</u> (Table 5). This analysis furnished data on concentrations of 61 elements. For purposes of the present survey, evaluation of the significance of the concentrations observed in Port Townsend Bay seawater was limited to a comparison with concentrations measured in the samples collected in Admiralty Inlet.

Results showed close agreement between trace element concentrations in Port Townsend Bay and Admiralty Inlet. With the exception of beryllium, antimony, and tin, this analysis corroborated the low concentrations of priority pollutant metals found through conventional atomic absorbtion methods of seawater analysis, as described above.

¹ ug/L = micrograms per liter (parts per billion)

Table 4. Metals concentrations in Port Townsend Bay seawater samples collected August 16-17, 1988 (µg/L; ppb).

SriZ		0.831 NA	1.200	0.185	0.831		1.200	0.980 ±0.099				
muilladT		<1.55 NA	<1.55	<1.55 <1.55	<1.55		<1.55 <1.55	NC				
niT i		<0.01 NA	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01		<0.01 <0.01	NC				
Selenium		<0.51 NA	<0.51	<0.51 <0.51	<0.51		<0.51 <0.51	NC				
Antimony		<1.07	<1.07	<1.07	<1.07		<1.07 <1.07	NC				
bead		0.054 NA	0.063	0.050	0.027 <1.07		0.281	0.251				
Nickel		0.356 NA	0.243	0.227	<0.028		0.292	0.290 ±0.031				
Ме гсигу		0.0008	0.0007	0.0006 NA	0.0012 <0.028			••			1.50	1.52± 0.04
Copper		0.305 NA	0.366	0.317	0.037		0.317	0.291 ±0.027				
Chromium		0.146 NA	0.160	0.173	<0.013		0.133					
muimbsO		0.082 NA	<0.105	0.097	<0.001		0.027	0.026± 0.118 0.005 ±0.021				
Beryllium		<0.01 NA	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01		<0.01 <0.01	NC				
Arsenic		1.18 NA	1.24	1.08	<0.0>				1.60	1.65±		
Silver		0.001 NA ^a	0.001	0.001	<0.001		0.002	NCC				•
Date and Time of Collection		Aug 6, 1800	Aug 17, 1830	Aug 17, 1245	1	••	ic Seawater		Seawater		ы	
Description	Samples:	Port Townsend Bay (duplicate analysis)	Port Townsend Bay	Port Townsend Bay (duplicate analysis)	Blank	Standard Reference Materials:	NRCC ^b Coastal Atlantic (duplicate analysis)	Certified value =	NRCC North Atlantic Seawater (duplicate analysis)	Certified value =	NBS ^d Mercury in Water (duplicate analysis)	Certified value =
гвшріє длшрєк	Field S	348080 348080	348083	348085 348085	348086	Standan	CASS-1 CASS-1		NASS-1 NASS-1		1641b 1641b	

a Not analyzed

^bNational Research Council Canada, Ottawa

CNot certified

 $\boldsymbol{d}_{\text{National}}$ Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C.

Table 5. Concentrations of 61 elements in Port Townsend Bay seawater samples collected August 16-17, 1988 (µg/L; ppb).

erial	Seawater	Certified Value					_	0.224±0.039	_	_								0.029±0.004																0.039±0.006				
Reference Mat	North Atlantik	Analysis 2		1230000	/80000	2750	0.43	0.47	0.39	0.16	45.3	123000	281	0.84	1.13	MI	<0.14	0.03	2.46	152	1.07	0.19	0.22	60.0>	<0.10	0.39	60.09	60.09	77.0	40.16	<0.10	1.46	70.47	0.03	80.08	<0.11	MC	<7.75
NRCC	NASS-2	Analysis 1		985000	/5/000	1680	0.17	0.22	0.26	0.18	32.1	91700	218	0.61	0.25	¥	<0.14	0.03	3.55	111	0.77	0.16	<0.08	60.0>	<0.10	80.0%	60.0>	60.0>	\$0.08	<0.16	<0.10	<0.13	<0.41	0.04	0.08	<0.11	SE SE	1947
Field Blank	8086	;		839	2120	177	0.01	0.24	<0.01	0.04	94.0	1000	0.45	<0.08	0.11	M	<0.14	<0.01	09.0	28.6	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.0>	0.16	0.16	60.0>	60.0>	80.08	<0.16	<0.10	0.23	<0.41	0.05	<0.08	0.12	MC	14.6
	\$08 4	8/17 1330		870000	289000	1860	0.24	0.31	0.26	0.11	28	84400	206	0.34	0.21	WI	<0.14	<0.01	2.68	115	0.62	0.19	0.12	60.0>	<0.10	0.26	<0.0>	<0.0>	0.17	<0.16	0.43	<0.13	<0.41	0.01	0.08	1.14	MC	335
Imiralty Inlet	8082	8/17 0855		920000	632000	1780	0.23	0.31	0.25	0.30	33.8	85000	199	0.50	0.47	M	<0.14	0.02	2.58	118	0.70	80°0>	0.13	0.48	<0.10	<0.08	60.0>	0.48	0.25	<0.16	<0.10	<0.13	<0.41	0.02	<0.08	1.35	MC	253
		8/16 1720		925000	284000	1620	0.21	0.29	0.22	0.11	29.8	83200	194	0.58	0.70	M	<0.14	<0.01	2.51	106	0.53	0.12	0.12	0.57	<0.10	0.31	60.0>	60.0>	<0.08	<0.16	1.18	<0.13	<0.41	0.02	<0.08	<0.11	æ	21,2
	8085(rep)	8/17		922000	Ψ																																	311
r Farm	8085	8/17		878000	572000	1880	0.24	0.30	0.26	0.12	31.0	90200	195	0.37	0.78	IW	0.91	0.03	2.29	108	0.72	0.0	<0.08	0.47	<0.10	0.30	<0.0>	60.0>	0.17	<0.16	<0.10	<0.13	<0.41	0.02	0.08	0.85	MC	212
Blue Water Farm	8083	8/17		800000																																		315
	8080	8/16		65100	559000	1660	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.10	25.6	76000	190	0.48	0.15	Σ	<0.14	0.03	3.61	4.68	0.74	0.08	0.12	60.0>	<0.10	0.26	<0.0>	<0.0>	0.10	<0.16	0.35	<0.13	<0.41	0.02	<0.08	<0.11	MC	25.6
Sample Description	Sample No. (34-)	Date of Collection	TOTA DATE OF TO DIET	Magnesium	Calcium	Titaniım	Chromitm	Tron	Nickel	7 inc	Germanium	Bromine	Rubidium	Vttrinm	Niobjum	Ruthenium	Palladium	Cadminm	Tin	Todine	Cesium	Lanthanim	Praeseodynium	Samarium	Gadolinium	Dysprosium	Erbium	Ytterbium	Hafnium	Tungsten	Osmium	Platinum	Mercury	Lead	Thorium	Beryllium	Sodium	A Lorentz more and

Table 5. Continued.

Sample Description		Blue Water	er Farm		A	Admiralty Inlet	and the state of t	Field Blank	NRC	NRCC Reference Materia	erial
Sample No. (34-)	8080	8083	8085	8085(rep)	8081 8/16	8082	8084	8086	NASS-2	NASS-2 North Atlantic Seawater Certifi	Seawater
Time of Collection	1800	0830	1245	1245	1720	0855	1330		Analysis 1	Analysis 2	Value
					4						
Scandium	192	205	199	219	209	212	215	35.0	343	233	
Vanadium	0>08	<0.08	80.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	×0.08	
Manganese	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	<0.01	0.02	0.04	0.022±0.007
Cobalt	<0.01	<0.03	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.004 ± 0.001
Copper	0.14	0.18	0.20	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.19	0.03	0.11	0.30	0.109+0.011
Gallium	10.5	15.9	17.5	16.3	16.4	16.1	15.4	60.4	<0.57	26.43	
Arsenic	1.16	1.91	2.13	2.37	1.93	2.16	2.10	0.07	1.65	3.79	1.65±.19
Selenium	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	<0.01	0.02	0.03	0.024+0.004
Strontium	10800	10900	17800	11/00	11300	11500	11200	6.11	13100	16100	
Zirconium	0.83	1.24	1.18	1.08	1,23	0.98	4.22	<0.15	1.41	1.74	
Molybdenum	8.47	10.8	11.4	12.1	76.6	11.0	11.0	0.55	11.5	15.6	11.5+1.9
Rhodium	W	Ĭ	W	W	W	Ψ	M	MI	Ŧ	¥	
Silver	<0.10	<0.51	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	87.0	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	
Indium	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	
Antimony	1.17	2.07	2.45	2.33	1.86	1.68	2.07	0.10	2.27	2.91	
Tellurium	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	<0.38	
Barium	7.90	6.24	6.65	6.73	7.40	00.6	7.95	<0.17	7.11	7.52	
Cerium	0.13	<0.08	0.33	0,40	0.17	0.25	0.28	<0.08	<0.08	0.50	
Neodymium	<0.08	0.28	0.45	0.42	0.34	0.83	67.0	<0.08	77.0	0.74	
Europium	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.19	0.22	0.22	<0.08	<0.08	0.13	0.20	
Terbium	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	0.10	<0.08	<0.08	0.20	
Holmium	0.36	0.32	0.38	74.0	0.37	0.45	64.0	<0.08	0.39	0.73	
Thulium	0.0	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	0.10	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	
Lutetium	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	IS	
Tantalum	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	0.0	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	
Rhenium	60.0>	60.0>	60.0>	<0.0>	<0.0>	<0.0>	60.0>	60.0>	<0.0>	60.0>	
Iridium	<0.08	<0.08	0.28	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0°0>	
Gold	<1.58	<1.29	<0.98	<2.50	<1.75	<1.36	<0.71	<1.56	<2.16	<3.98	
Thallium	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	0.39	<0.08	
Bismuth	<0.08	<0.08	0.27	<0.08	<0.08	0.15	<0.08	<0.08	<0.08	80·0>	
Uranium	1.97	2.17	1.92	1.61	5.06	1.84	1.80	0.01	3.00	1.89	3.00 ± 0.15

MC = major component MI - molecular interference [S = internal standard

<u>Volatiles</u>. Except for trace amounts (5.3 - 11 ug/L) of methylene chloride, a common laboratory contaminant also detected in both field and method blanks, purgeable organic priority pollutants were not detected in Port Townsend Bay seawater. Detection limits were 0.2 - 2.9 ug/L.

<u>Acid/Base/Neutrals</u>. No acid/base/neutral compounds were detected. Detection limits were 1 - 5 ug/L, except for benzoic acid and dinitrophenols, where a detection limit of 10 ug/L was achieved.

<u>Cyanide</u>. A trace of cyanide (2 ug/L total cyanide) was detected in one of the two samples collected for this analysis. This concentration is at the detection limit of the method. Water quality criteria for protection of marine life from the toxic effects of cyanide are in the range of 1 - 10 ug/L (EPA, 1986; CCREM, 1987; Thurston et al., 1979; NAS, 1973).

<u>Herbicides</u>. No samples contained detectable concentrations of herbicides at detection limits ranging from 0.02 - 8.0 ug/L.

<u>Nitrogen/Phosphorus Compounds</u>. The screen for organo-nitrogen and organo-phosphorus compounds failed to detect the presence of these types of compounds at a detection limit of 0.02 ug/L.

CONCLUSIONS

Analysis of Port Townsend Bay seawater samples collected during August 16-17, 1988, showed no evidence of chemical contamination. These results lend further support to the conclusions reached in Ecology's October-December 1987 survey of the bay, namely that "the level of chemical contamination in Port Townsend Bay appeared to be generally low [and] no evidence was found of the unusual occurrence of toxic chemicals." Subsequent investigations into the cause of this liver disease in pen-reared salmon should focus on natural toxins, perhaps an algal toxin as suggested by results of Battelle's 1988 studies (Kent, 1989).

REFERENCES


- Bloom, N.S. and E.A. Crecelius. 1983. Determination of mercury in seawater at sub-nanogram per liter levels. Mar. Chem. 14:49-59.
- Bruland, K.W., R.P. Franks, G.A. Knauer, and J.H. Martin. 1979. Sampling and analytical methods for determination of copper, cadmium, zinc, and nickel at the nanogram per liter level in seawater. Analytica Chimica Acta 105: 233-245.
- CCREM. 1987. Canadian water quality criteria guidelines. Canadian Council of Resource and Environmental Ministers, Ottawa, Ontario.
- EPA. 1986. Quality criteria for water, 1986. EPA 440/5-86-001.
- Hardy, J.T., C.W. Apts, E.A. Crecelius, and N.S. Bloom. 1985. Sea-surface microlayer metals enrichment in an urban and rural bay. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 20: 299-312.
- Johnson, A. 1988. Port Townsend pen-reared salmon mortality: results of screening surveys for toxic chemicals in tissues, sediments, seawater, and effluents, October-December, 1987. Water Quality Investigations Section, Wash. St. Dept. of Ecology, Olympia. 33 pp.
- Kent, M.L., M.S. Meyers, D.E. Hinton, W.D. Eaton, and R.A. Elston. 1988. Suspected toxicopathic hepatic necrosis and megalocytosis in pen-reared Atlantic salmon (<u>Salmo salar</u>) in Puget Sound, Washington, USA. Dis. Aquat. Org. 4:91-100.
- Kent, M.L. and R.A. Elston. 1989. Toxicopathic liver disease of pen-reared salmon in Port Townsend Bay - 1988 studies. prep. for Wash. St. Dept. of Ecology by Battelle Marine Sciences Laboratory, Sequim, Washington. 21 pp.
- NAS. 1973. Water quality criteria, 1972. National Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C.
- Paulson, A.J., and R.A. Feeley. 1985. Dissolved trace metals in the surface waters of Puget Sound. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 16(7): 285-291.
- Thurston, R.V., R.C. Russo, C.M. Fetterolf, Jr., R.A. Edsall, and Y.M. Barber, Jr. (Eds.). 1979. A review of the EPA redbook: quality criteria for water. Water Quality Section, Am. Fish. Soc., Bethesda, M.D.
- Yeats, P.A., J.M. Brewers and A. Walton. 1978. Sensitivity of coastal waters to anthropogenic trace metals emissions. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 9:264-268.

Volatiles			
Chloromethane	(2.9)	1,2-Dichloropropane	(0.6)
Bromomethane	(0.9)	Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	(0.5)
Vinyl Chloride	(1.1)	Trichloroethene	(0.8)
Chloroethane	(0.9)	Dibromochloromethane	(0.9)
Methylene Chloride	` *x ´	1,1,2-Trichloroethane	(0.3)
Acetone	(0.6)	Benzene	(0.4)
Carbon Disulfide	(2.0)	Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	(0.6)
1,1-Dichloroethene	(1.3)	2-Chloroethylvinylether	(1.5)
1,1-Dichloroethane	(1.1)	Bromoform	(0.3)
Trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	(1.1)	4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	(1.8)
Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	(1.2)	2-Hexanone	(1.3)
Chloroform	(0.9)	Tetrachloroethene	(0.6)
1,2-Dichloroethane	(0.6)	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	(0.6)
2-Butanone	(1.0)	Toluene	(0.6)
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	(1.0)	Chlorobenzene	(0.6)
Carbon Tetrachloride	(0.5)	Ethylbenzene	(0.6)
Vinyl Acetate	(1.7)	Styrene	(0.5)
Bromodichloromethane	(0.2)	Total Xylenes	(1.5)
	(0.2)	Total Nylones	(1.3)
Acid/Base/Neutrals			
Phenol	(1)	Acenaphthlene	(1)
Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	(1)	2,4-Dinitrophenol	(10)
2-Chlorophenol	(1)	4-Nitrophenol	`(5 <u>)</u>
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	(1)	Dibenzofuran	(1)
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	(1)	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	(5)
Benzyl Alcohol	$(\tilde{5})$	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	(5)
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	(1)	Diethylphthalate	(1)
2-Methylphenol	(1)	4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	(1)
bis(2-chloroisopropyl)Ether	$(\bar{1})$	Fluorene	(1)
4-Methylphenol	$(\tilde{1})$	4-Nitroaniline	(5)
N-Nitroso-Di-n-Propylamine	(1)	4,6-Dinitro-2-Methylphenol	(10)
Hexachloroethane	$(\overline{2})$	N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	(1)
Nitrobenzene	$(\tilde{1})$	4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	(1)
Isophorone	$(\tilde{1})$	Hexachlorobenzene	(1)
2-Nitrophenol	(5)	Pentachlorophenol	(5)
2,4-Dimethylphenol	(2)	Phenanthrene	(1)
Benzoic Acid	(10)	Anthracene	(1)
bis(2-Chloroethoxy)Methane	(1)	Di-n-Butylphthalate	(1)
2,4-Dichlorophenol	(3)	Fluoranthene	(1)
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	(1)	Pyrene	(1)
Naphthalene	(1)	Buthylbenzylphthalate	(1)
4-Chloroaniline	(3)	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	(5)
Hexachlorobutadiene	$\binom{3}{2}$	Benzo(a)Anthracene	(1)
4-Chloro-3-Methylphenol	$\binom{2}{2}$	Bis(2-Ethylhexyl)Phthalate	$\binom{1}{1}$
2-Methylnaphthalene	$\binom{2}{1}$	Chrysene	(1)
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	(5)	Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	$\binom{1}{1}$
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	(5)	Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	(1)
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	(5)		
2-Chloronaphthalene	(1)	Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	(1)
2-Nitroaniline		Benzo(a)Pyrene	(1)
	(5)	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)Pyrene	(1)
Dimethyl Phthalate	(1)	Dibenz(a,h)Anthracene	(1)
Acenaphthylene 3-Nitroaniline	(1) (5)	Benzo(ghi)Perylene	(1)
<u>Herbicides</u>			
Silvex (2,4,5-TP)	(0.02)		
2,4,5-T	(0.02)		
Dinoseb	(0.02)		
Dicamba	(0.02)		
Dichlorprop	(0.04)		
2,4-D	(0.04)		
2,4-DB	(0.2)		
Dalapon	(2.0)		
MCPP	(8.0)		
MCPA	(4.0)		
	()	****	***

^{*} detected in blanks

Appendix B. Hydrolab profiles in Port Townsend Bay, August 16-17, 1988.

Depth (meters)	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (%)	рН (S.U.)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)
		8/16/8	8 1745–1	800 hours
Surface	11.8	30.1	7.3	7.5
1	11.9	30.1	7.4	8.6
2	11.9	30.3	7.4	8.9
4	11.8	30.4	7.5	9.3
6	11.7	30.5	7.5	9.0
8	11.6	30.5	7.5	8.7
10	11.5	30.4	7.5	8.3
15	11.4	30.4	7.4	7.9
16	11.0	30.6	7.3	6.6
17	Bottom			
		8/17/8	8 1300-1	315 hours
Surface	14.1	30.1	7.6	10.1
1	12.3	30.0	7.6	8.5
2	11.5	30.3	7.4	6.7
4	11.3	30.4	7.4	6.2
6	11.2	30.5	7.3	5.8
8	11.1	30.5	7.3	5.5
10	11.0	30.6	7.3	5.3
15	Bottom			





STATE OF WASHINGTON

DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

Post Office Box 346 • Manchester, Washington 98353-0346 • (206) 895-4740

Data Review October 7, 1988

Project: Port Townsend

Sample No: 348080, 348085, and 348086

Laboratory: Analytical Resources Inc.

By Roy Araki, Chemist

VOA

Holding Time: The following is a time summary for this analysis:

		To	To		VOA	VOA
Sam. #	Collect	Manche	Contr	VOA	Frm Rcpt	Frm Coll
34 8080	8/16	8/18	8/18	8/19	1 days	3 days
34 8085	8/17	8/18	8/18	8/19	1 days	2 days
34 8086	8/17	8/18	8/18	8/19	1 days	2 days

These times are within the U.S. EPA CLP limits of ten (10) days for analysis from the date of receipt and fourteen (14) days for analysis from the date of collection.

Surrogates: Recoveries are acceptable and within the U.S. EPA CLP limits

Matrix Spike & Matrix Spike Duplicate: Toluene was recovered in the matrix spike duplicate at slightly higher (127%) than the CLP limit (125%). All other recoveries are acceptable and within the CLP limits. The Toluene recovery can be considered an anomaly and should not affect the data.

Precision data is acceptable and within the CLP limits.

Sample Data: Methylene chloride is a common laboratory contaminant in VOA analysis
It was found in the laboratory blank and therefore subsequent positives for this analyte received a "B" qualifier if it was quantitated at less than ten (10) times the level of the blank.

The data is considered acceptable for use without additional qualifications.

BNA

Holding Times: The following is a time summary for this analysis:

		To	To		Analysis	Ext BNA	Ext BNA	BNA anal
Sam. #	Collect	Manche	Contr	Ext BNA	BNA	Frm Rcpt	Frm Coll	Frm Ext
34 8080	8/16	8/18	8/18	8/23	8/25	5 days	7 days	2 days
34 8085	8/17	8/18	8/18	8/23	8/25	5 days	6 days	2 days
34 8086	8/17	8/18	8/18	8/23	8/25	5 days	6 days	2 days

These times are within the U.S. EPA CLP limits of five (5) days for extraction from the date of receipt and seven (7) days for extraction from the date of collection. The CLP limit for analysis is forty (40) days from the date of extraction.

<u>Surrogates:</u> Recoveries are acceptable and within the U.S. EPA CLP limits.

Matrix Spike & Matrix Spike Duplicate: The recovery for Pyrene from the matrix spike duplicate (162%) was outside the CLP limit (127%). Two bases, 2,4-Dinitrotoluene and N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine were recovered form the matrix spike duplicate at a lower level than the matrix spike but within the CLP limits. The precision for N-nitroso-di-n-propylamine was affected severely enough to be outside the CLP limits.

Other QA/QC information appear to be acceptable and the recoveries mentioned above can be considered isolated to the analysis of the matrix spike duplicate and not to the rest of the sample set. The data should not be affected and additional data qualification is not needed.

Other recoveries and precision data are acceptable and within the CLP limts.

Sample Data: The data is considered acceptable without additional data qualification.

Chlorinated Herbicides:

Holding Times: The following is a time summary for this analysis:

		To	To			Herb Ext	Herb Ext	Herb Ana
Sam. #	Collect	Manche	Contr	Ext Herb	C1 Herb	Frm Rept	Frm Coll	Frm Ext
34 8080	8/16	8/18	8/18	8/24	9/1	6 days	8 days	8 days
34 8085	8/17	8/18	8/18	8/24	9/1	6 days	7 days	8 days
34 8086	8/17	8/18	8/18	8/24	9/1	6 days	7 days	8 days

There are no CLP limits on holding times for this analysis. Recommended holding times for chlorinated pesticides are five (5) days for extraction from the date of receipt and seven (7) days for extraction from the date of collection. The CLP limit for analysis is forty (40) days from the date of extraction. If these limits are applied then some of the times are in slight excess but not grossly so. This should have no affect of the subsequent data.

<u>Surrogates:</u> Recoveries are acceptable.

Matrix Spike & Matrix Spike Duplicate: Dinoseb was recovered at a low level in the matrix spike duplicate. Compared to the matrix spike the precision of recovery is poor for this analyte. It is highly possible that quantitation for this analyte is